THE VINDOBONA JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL LAW AND ARBITRATION

VOLUME 26 ISSUE 2 (2022)

MOOT ALUMNI ASSOCIATION ALUMNI ASSOCIATION OF THE WILLEM C. VIS INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION MOOT

Limits and Overcoming the Application of the Burden of Proof in Jurisdictional Disputes in ICSID Arbitration

Xi Zhang,* Rozanah Ab Rahman** & Hanna Ambaras Khan***

1	Introduction162
2	The Double Meaning of the Burden of Proof in ICSID
	Arbitration164
3	General Principles for the Allocation of the Burden of
	Proof of Jurisdiction in ICSID Arbitration165
4	Multiple Jurisdictional Elements of Fact to be Proved in
	ICSID Arbitration168
5	Limitations of the Burden of Proof as It Applies to ICSID
	Jurisdictional Disputes169
6	Proposals to Address the Limitations of the Application of
	the Burden of Proof in ICSID Jurisdictional Disputes
7	Conclusion

This article examines the burden of proof in ICSID arbitration jurisdiction disputes and highlights three significant limitations in its practical application. These limitations include difficulties in confirming factual elements, an excessive duty of persuasion leading to an imbalance between parties, and the burden of proof rule overshadowing the interpretation of the arbitration agreement. To address these limitations and improve fairness, effectiveness, and consistency in settling jurisdictional disputes, it is advisable to adopt the International Court of Justice's approach. This approach involves diluting the statutory duty of persuasion, relying on the presumption of good faith for fact-finding, and utilizing diverse methods of treaty interpretation. By implementing these measures, the effectiveness of ICSID arbitration can be enhanced

^{*} PhD Student, School of Business and Economics, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

^{**} Professor, School of Business and Economics, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

^{***} Senior Lecture, School of Business and Economics, Universiti Putra Malaysia.